a	. d			
6 /	s ef			
	1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California		
	2	MARC D. GREENBAUM, State Bar No. 138213 Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
	3	JAMI L. CANTORE, State Bar No. 165410 Deputy Attorney General		
	4	California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
	5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2569		
	6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
	7	Attorneys for Complainant		
	8	BEFORE T	THE	
	9	CALIFORNIA BOARD OI	FACCOUNTANCY	
			MENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS TATE OF CALIFORNIA	
	11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2007-24	
	12	RICHARD PO-CHUN WONG	STIPULATED REVOCATION OF	
	13	2225 West Commonwealth Avenue, #113 Alhambra, CA 91803	LICENSE AND ORDER	
	14	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 24826	•	
	15	·		
	16	Respondent.		
	17			
IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by proceeding that the following matters are true:		AGREED by and between the parties in this		
		proceeding that the following matters are true:		
	20	20 <u>PARTIES</u>		
21 1. Carol Sigmann (Complainant) is the Board of Accountancy. She brought this action solely in h		1. Carol Sigmann (Complainant)	is the Executive Officer of the California	
		Board of Accountancy. She brought this action sole	ly in her official capacity and is represented	
	in this matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, by Jam. Cantore, Deputy Attorney General.  Richard Po-Chun Wong (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding attorney Jerome A. Busch, whose address is Vogt & Resnick, LLP, 4400 MacArthur Blvd.,		neral of the State of California, by Jami L.	
			condent) is represented in this proceeding by	
			Resnick, LLP, 4400 MacArthur Blvd., Ninth	
	27	Floor, Newport Beach, CA, 92658-7849.		
	28	1//		

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

2.5

26

27

28

3. On or about June 17, 1977, the California Board of Accountancy issued Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 24826 to Richard Po-Chun Wong. The Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. AC-2007-24 and will expire on January 31, 2008, unless renewed.

### **JURISDICTION**

4. Accusation No. AC-2007-24 was filed on March 8, 2007, before the California Board of Accountancy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on March 22, 2007. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. AC-2007-24 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

## ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, discussed with counsel, and fully understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. AC-2007-24. Respondent also has carefully read, discussed with counsel, and fully understands the effects of this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### CULPABILITY

8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. AC-2007-24, and agrees that cause exists for discipline and revocation of his 9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the revocation of his Certified Public Accountant Certificate without further process.

### RESERVATION

10. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the California Board of Accountancy or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

Accountancy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the California Board of Accountancy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this Stipulated Revocation, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Revocation and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

### OTHER MATTERS

- 12. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

28 | ///

2.5

#### **ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 24826 issued to Respondent Richard Po-Chun Wong is revoked by the California Board of Accountancy.

- 1. The revocation of Respondent's Certified Public Accountant Certificate by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.
- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as an accountant in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board both his wall certificate and pocket license certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 4. Respondent fully understands and agrees that if he ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. AC-2007-24 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition.
- 5. Should Respondent ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. AC-2007-24 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.
- 6. Respondent shall pay the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$3,655.13 prior to the petition for reinstatement or application for licensure.
- 7. Respondent will be eligible to petition for reinstatement or apply for licensure one (1) year from the effective date of the Decision and Order.

## ACCEPTANCE I have carefully read the above Stipulated Revocation of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Jerome A. Busch. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Certified Public Accountant Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the California Board of Accountancy. б DATED: Richard Po-Chun Wong Respondent I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Richard Po-Chun Wong the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order. I approve its form and content. DATED: JEROME A. BUSCH, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent

## ACCEPTANCE

1	TICOLI TITICOL			
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Revocation of License and Order and			
3	have fully discussed it with my attorney, Jerome A. Busch. I understand the stipulation and the			
4	effect it will have on my Certified Public Accountant Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated			
5	Revocation of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound			
6	by the Decision and Order of the California Board of Accountancy.			
7	DATED:			
8				
9				
10	Richard Po-Chun Wong Respondent			
11				
12				
13	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Richard Po-Chun Wong the			
14	terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Revocation of License and			
15	Order. I approve its form and content.			
16	DATED: <u>6/5/07</u> .			
17				
18	Man A Annel			
19	JEROME A. BUSCH, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				

**ENDORSEMENT** The foregoing Stipulated Revocation of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the California Board of Accountancy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. 6/7/07 DATED: EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant 

## BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2007-24
RICHARD PO-CHUN WONG 2225 West Commonwealth Avenue, #113 Alhambra, CA 91803	
Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 24826	
Respondent.	
DECISION ANI	O ORDER
The attached Stipulated Revocation of	of License and Order is hereby adopted by the
California Board of Accountancy, Department of Co	onsumer Affairs, as its Decision in this
matter.	

This Decision shall become effective on August 30, 2007

It is so ORDERED July 31, 2007

FOR THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

Exhibit A
Accusation No. AC-2007-24

5		•
•		
	* .	
	EDICADID C. DDOWNI ID. Aug. C. C	
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	
2	MARC D. GREENBAUM, State Bar No. 138213 Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	JAMI L. CANTORE, State Bar No. 165410  Deputy Attorney General	
4	California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2569	
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9		
10	STATE OF CAL	AFURNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2007-24
12	RICHARD PO-CHUN WONG	ACCUCATION
13	2225 West Commonwealth Avenue, #113 Alhambra, CA 91803	ACCUSATION
14	Certified Public Accountant Certificate	
15	No. CPA 24826	
16	Respondent.	·
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	<u>PARTIES</u>	
20	Carol Sigmann (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official	
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy, Department of	
22	Consumer Affairs.	
. 23	2. On or about June 17, 1977, the California Board of Accountancy issued	
24	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 24826 to Richard Po-Chun Wong	
25	(Respondent). The Certificate was expired and was not valid during the period February 1, 1990	
26	through February 28, 1990, for the following reasons:	
27	a) the renewal fee required by Busine	ess and Professions Code section 5070.5 was
28	not paid; and	

b) declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements was not submitted.

Effective March 1, 1990, the Certificate was renewed through January 31, 1992, upon receipt of the renewal fee and declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements ("active"). The Certificate was expired and was not valid during the period February 1, 1992 through February 25, 1992, for the reasons set forth above in subparagraphs (a) and (b).

Effective February 26, 1992, the Certificate was renewed through January 31, 1994, upon receipt of the renewal fee and declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements ("active"). The Certificate was expired and was not valid during the period February 1, 1994 through February 27, 1994, for the reasons set forth above in subparagraphs (a) and (b).

Effective February 28, 1994, the Certificate was renewed through January 31, 1996, upon receipt of the renewal fee and declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements ("active"). The Certificate was expired and was not valid during the period February 1, 1996 through February 22, 1996, for the reasons set forth above in subparagraphs (a) and (b).

Effective February 23, 1996, the Certificate was renewed through January 31, 1998, upon receipt of the renewal fee and declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements ("active"). The Certificate was expired and was not valid during the period February 1, 1998 through February 21, 1998, for the reasons set forth above in subparagraphs (a) and (b).

Effective February 22, 1998, the Certificate was renewed through January 31, 2000, upon receipt of the renewal fee and declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements ("active"). The Certificate was renewed for the period February 1, 2000 through January 31, 2002, with continuing education ("active"). The Certificate was renewed for the period February 1, 2002 through January 31, 2004, with continuing education ("active"). The Certificate was renewed for the period February 1, 2004 through January 31, 2006, with

continued education ("active"). The Certificate was expired and was not valid during the period February 1, 2006 through February 26, 2006, for the reasons set forth above in subparagraphs (a) and (b).

Effective February 27, 2006, the Certificate was renewed through January 31, 2008 upon receipt of the renewal fee and declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements ("active").

### **JURISDICTION**

- 2. This Accusation is brought before the California Board of Accountancy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
  - 3. Section 5109 states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a license by operation of law or by order or decision of the Board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation or action or disciplinary proceeding against the licensee, or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

### 4. Section 5100 states:

"After notice and hearing the board may revoke, suspend, or refuse to renew any permit or certificate granted under Article 4 (commencing with Section 5070) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 5080), or may censure the holder of that permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct that includes, but is not limited to, one or any combination of the following causes:

"(a) Conviction of any crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a certified public accountant or a public accountant.

"(g) Willful violation of this chapter or any rule or regulation promulgated by the board under the authority granted under this chapter.

"(j) Knowing preparation, publication, or dissemination of false, fraudulent, or materially misleading financial statements, reports, or information."

5. Section 5063 of the Code requires a licensee to report in writing to the Board within 30 days all felony convictions and convictions of any crime: related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or committed in the course and scope of practice of public accountancy; or involving theft, embezzlement, misappropriation of funds or property, breach of a fiduciary responsibility, or the preparation, publication, or dissemination of false, fraudulent, or materially misleading financial statements, reports or information.

### 6. Section 5106 states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof. The board may order the certificate or permit suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a certificate or permit, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made, suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment."

## 7. Section 490 of the Code states:

"A board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

# 8. Section 5107(a) of the Code states:

"The executive officer of the board may request the administrative law judge, as part of the proposed decision in a disciplinary proceeding, to direct any holder of a permit or certificate found to have committed a violation or violations of this chapter to pay to the board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees. The board shall not recover costs incurred at the administrative hearing."

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in conjunction with section 490, as defined in section 5106 of the Code, in that Respondent was convicted of a substantially related crime. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are as follows:
- a. On or about May 10, 2006, Respondent was convicted on a plea of guilty for one count of violating 18 U.S.C. section 1343, a felony, (wire fraud), one count of violating 18 U.S.C. sections 2 (a), and 2 (b), a felony, (aiding and abetting); one count of violating 26 U.S.C. section 7201, a felony, (tax evasion), and one count of violating 26 U.S.C. section 7206(2), a felony (willful aiding and assisting in the preparation of false tax return), in the United States District Court, Central District of California, Case No. CR06-00181, entitled *United States of America v. Richard Po-Chun Wong*.
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that from approximately September 1998 through approximately October 2001, Respondent assisted his clients, "UFC", "Tri-Ocean", and "Nikko," in the preparation and presentation of false financial statements to HSBC bank in order to obtain revolving commercial lines of credit. Respondent assisted UFC, Tri-Ocean, and Nikko in providing materially false financial statements to HSBC bank, which falsely and substantially inflated the assets and income to UFC, Tri-Ocean, and Nikko.
- c. On or about October 15, 2001, Respondent prepared and filed his individual year 2000 income tax return with a reported total income of \$36,038 and total gross receipts of \$62,374. Respondent's actual total income for the year 2000 was over \$300,000, with

gross receipts totaling over \$400,000. 1 d. On or about April 16, 2001, Respondent aided and assisted in the 2 preparation of an employee's U.S. income tax return. The return omitted over \$40,000 in wages 3 that the employee received from Respondent. 4 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 5 (Unprofessional Conduct: Preparation of False, Fraudulent and Misleading Financial Statements) 6 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100, 7 10. subdivisions (g) and (j), of the Code on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that 8 Respondent knowingly prepared and provided a banking institution with false, fraudulent, or 9 materially misleading financial statements and/or reports, as fully set forth above in paragraph 9 10 and incorporated herein by reference. 11 THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 12 (Unprofessional Conduct: Failure to Report Conviction) 13 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100, 11. 14 subdivision (g), of the Code on the grounds of unprofessional conduct for violating section 5063 15 in that Respondent failed to report in writing to the Board within 30 days all felony convictions. 16 Respondent failed to report that on or about May 10, 2006, he was convicted in the United States 17 District Court, as fully set forth above in paragraph 9 and incorporated herein by reference. 18 **PRAYER** 19 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein 20 alleged and that, following the hearing, the California Board of Accountancy issue a decision: 21 Revoking or suspending or otherwise imposing discipline upon Certified 1. 22 Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 24826 issued to Richard Po-Chun Wong. 23 Ordering Richard Po-Chun Wong to pay the California Board of 2. 24 Accountancy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case pursuant to 25 Business and Professions Code section 5107, 26 111 27 28 ///

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. DATED: March 8, 2007 mans **Executive Officer** California Board of Accountancy
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant